

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. D. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/700,099	12/11/2000	Bernd Fischer	D078 1090	2645
7590 03/10/2004			EXAMINER	
James F Vaughan			DICUS, TAMRA	
Womble Carlyle Sandridge & Rice PO Box 725388			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Atlanta, GA 31139-9388			1774	

DATE MAILED: 03/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					mk			
		App	olication No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/	700,099	FISCHER ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary		miner	Art Unit				
			nra L. Dicus	1774				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this comm or Reply	iunication appears	on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence ac	aress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION of time may be available under the provisions of time may be available under the provisions of time may be available under the provision of time may be available under the provision of the period for reply specified above, the maximular to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three month and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	JNICATION. ions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). communication. by (30) days, a reply within m statutory period will app eply will, by statute, cause ths after the mailing date of	In no event, however, may a the statutory minimum of th ly and will expire SIX (6) MO the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered time NTHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)	Responsive to communication(s)	filed on 16 Januar	y 2004.					
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ This actio						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)	Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in th	e application.						
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>17-25</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15 and 25</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to res	triction and/or elec	ction requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by	the Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/a	are: a)∏ accepted	d or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any o	bjection to the drawi	ng(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) include	ding the correction is	required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objecte	d to by the Examir	ier. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
	Acknowledgment is made of a cla All b) Some * c) None c  1. Certified copies of the prior	of: rity documents hav	ve been received.					
* (	<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the prior</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies</li> <li>application from the Internation</li> <li>See the attached detailed Office and</li> </ul>	es of the priority d ational Bureau (PC	ocuments have bee CT Rule 17.2(a)).	n received in this Nationa	l Stage			
13)□ / s 3	Acknowledgment is made of a clain ince a specific reference was inclustry CFR 1.78.  The translation of the foreign	m for domestic priduded in the first ser	ority under 35 U.S.C ntence of the specifi	<ul> <li>§ 119(e) (to a provisional cation or in an Application</li> </ul>				
	Acknowledgment is made of a clai				e a specific			
	eference was included in the first							
Attachmer	nt(s)							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO-413) Paper No				
-	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revie	,	5) Notice of	Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/700,099

Art Unit: 1774

## **DETAILED ACTION**

The RCE is acknowledged.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-13 and 25 (new) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pfaendner et a1., U.S. Pat. No. 6,362,278 B1 in view of Schwonke et al., U.S. Pat. No. 6,224,804 B1.
- 3. Pfaendner shows carpet flooring comprising at least one grafted copolymer (column 20, lines 57-67) and polyolefins comprising ULDPE (VLD PE) (column 25, lines 11-22). Pfaendner shows that the grafted copolymer is a grafted copolymer comprising maleic anhydride grafted to HD polyethylene (column 20, lines 57-67). Pfaendner shows that the grafting degree is from 0.05-15% (column 21, lines 52-53). Pfaendner shows that the novel compatibiliser/stabilizer compounds are added to the polymer to be stabilized in amounts of 0.5-30% (column 24, lines 60-63). Pfaendner shows that the flooring comprises pigments (column 25, lines 36-53). Pfaendner does not specifically show that the ULDPE has a density of less than 0.910 in instant claim 1 or 25 or that the density is from 0.85-0.892 g/cm3 as in instant claim 2. Schwonke shows an elastomer floor covering wherein the density of at least one elastomer based on a polyolefin of PE-VLD (ULDPE) is less than 0.918 g/cm (column 1 lines 55-65). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the elastomer floor

Application/Control Number: 09/700,099

Art Unit: 1774

covering of Pfaendner with an ULDPE with the densities as in the instant invention since it is known that such an elastomer helps to provide a flooring with low-emission, no discoloration, and prevention of unpleasant odors. Though Pfaendner shows that the flooring comprises copolmers of ethylene and octene (column 23, lines 1-27), Pfaendner does not show the polyolefin mixture of at least two ethylene copolymers with the densities as in instant claim 4. Schwonke shows that the elastomer comprises a copolymer of ethylene wherein the density of the polyolefins is about 0.85 to 0.892 (claim 12). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the elastomer floor covering comprising copolymers of ethylene and octene of Pfaendner with the densities as in the instant invention since it is known that such an elastomer helps to provide a flooring with low-emission, no discoloration, and prevention of unpleasant odors. Though Pfaendner shows that the flooring comprises crosslinked polyethylene (column 22, lines 49-60), Pfaendner does not show that the elastomer is cross-linked with at least on cross-linking agent based on organic peroxides as in instant claim 11. Schwonke shows that the elastomer is cross-linked with an organic peroxide (column 1, line 66 to column 2, line 3). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the elastomer floor covering comprising elastomers cross-linked with an organic peroxide since it is known that such an elastomer provides a flooring with low-emission, no discoloration, and prevention of unpleasant odors. Though Pfaendner shows that the flooring comprises crosslinked polyethylene (column 22, lines 49-60), Pfaendner does not show that the elastomer is co-cross-linked with isocyanuric acid derivatives as in instant claim 12. Schwonke shows that the elastomer is cross-linked with cyanuric acid derivatives (claim 14). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the

Application/Control Number: 09/700,099

Art Unit: 1774

art at the time the invention was made to make the elastomer floor covering comprising elastomers cross-linked with cyanuric acid derivatives since it is known that such an elastomer is a process enhancing additive. Schwonke does not show the weight ratio of the at least two ethylene copolymers as in instant claim 5. However, such a ratio is a property which can be easily determined by one of ordinary skill in the art. With regard to the limitation of the ratio, absent a showing of unexpected results, it is obvious to modify the conditions of a composition because they are merely the result of routine experimentation. The experimental modification of prior art in order to optimize operation conditions (e.g. ratio) fails to render claims patentable in the absence of unexpected results. All of the aforementioned limitations are optimizable as they control the rheology and elasticity of the flooring. As such, they are optimizable. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the flooring with the limitation of the weight ratios since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980). Claim 9 is rejected because the phrase "are used as co-cross-linking agents" in claim 9 introduces process limitations to the product claims. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product by process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claims are unpatentable even though the prior art was made by a different process. MPEP 2113. Further, process limitations are given no patentable weight in product claims.

4. Claim 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pfaendner et al., U.S. Pat. No. 6,362,278 B1 in view of Schwonke et al., U.S. Pat. No. 6,224,804 B1.

Page 5

patentable weight in product claims.

5. Pfaendner is relied upon as above for claims 1 and 13. Pfaendner does not show a mixture of filler comprising mineral intergrowths as in instant claim 14. Pfaendner does not show a variable color pattern and a homogenous design as in instant claim 15. Schwonke shows an elastomer floor covering comprising pigments, quartz powder, kaoline, and talc (column 2, lines 36-67). Schwonke shows a variable color design and that the flooring is of homogenous construction (claim 7-8). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the elastomer floor covering of Pfaendner with pigments in a design and mineral intergrowths of a homogenous construction since it is known that such a mixture provides decorative color and an effective filler for the flooring to provide for a consistent composition for the flooring. Claim 14 is rejected because the phrase is used as filler" in claim 14 introduce process limitations to the product claims. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product by process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claims are unpatentable even though the

## Conclusion

prior art was made by a different process. MPEP 2113. Further, process limitations are given no

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tamra L. Dicus whose telephone number is 571-272-1519. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:00-4:30 p.m., alternate Fridays. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia

Art Unit: 1774

Kelly can be reached on 571-272-1526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

February 25, 2004

CYNTHIA H. KELLY SUPERVISIONY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNICALONY CENTER 1700

[tld]

Cynthelides